Interview Summary

Application No. 09/844,935 Applicant(s)

Muniskin

Examiner

Art Unit



	Arun Chakrabarti	1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)		
(2) Steve Gaudet			
Date of Interview Jun 19, 2003	_		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: All Identification of prior art discussed: All			
motioned that the charce is not recited in the claim	nature of what was agreed to if novelty of their uce over absence but brootein. F clero's bit feature the amendment udment will be	an agreement To invening A anning A la end al	tion which taroget on a invention on a with
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agree copy of the amendments that wo	ed would rende uld render the	er the claims claims allowable is
i) $oxed{X}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ate record of the substance of th	e interview (i	f box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM, INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FI	the last Office	e action has MENT OF THE
	1		, , , , ,

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required